Range-Separated Random Phase Approximations

Bastien Mussard[1,2]
J. Toulouse[1], **P. Reinhardt**[1], **O. Franck**[1], **E. Luppi**[1],
D. Rocca[3], **J. G. Ángyán**[3]

[1] Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, Université Pierre et Marie Curie
[2] Institut du Calcul et de la Simulation, Université Pierre et Marie Curie
[3] Cristallographie Résonnance Magnétique et Modélisation, Université de Lorraine

bastien.mussard@upmc.fr
www.lct.jussieu.fr/pagesperso/mussard/

Random Phase Approximation from ACFDT

[Langreth,Perdew PRB **15** 2884 (1977)]

Adiabatic connection formula for E_c

$$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \, \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbb{W}_{ee}.\mathbb{P}_{c,\alpha}\right) \qquad = \int_0^1 d\alpha \left(\langle\Psi_\alpha|\hat{W}_{ee}|\Psi_\alpha\rangle - \langle\Phi_0|\hat{W}_{ee}|\Phi_0\rangle\right)$$

Fluctuation-dissipation theorem for $P_{c,\alpha}$

$$\mathbb{P}_{c,\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha(i\omega) - \mathbb{\Pi}_0(i\omega)\right]$$

Linear response equations for $\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha(i\omega)$

$$\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha(i\omega)^{-1} = \mathbb{\Pi}_0(i\omega)^{-1} - \mathbb{f}_{Hxc,\alpha}(i\omega)$$

RPA correlation energy $\mathbb{f}_{xc,\alpha} = 0$ or $\mathbb{f}_{c,\alpha} = 0$

$$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \, \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbb{W}_{ee}.\left[\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha^{RPA}(i\omega) - \mathbb{\Pi}_0(i\omega)\right]\right)$$

where $\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha^{RPA}$ is obtained from the eigenvectors of $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_\alpha & \mathbf{B}_\alpha \\ -\mathbf{B}_\alpha & -\mathbf{A}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$

RPA : different formalisms

$$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbb{W}_{ee}.\left[\mathbb{\Pi}_\alpha^{RPA}(i\omega) - \mathbb{\Pi}_0(i\omega)\right]\right) \qquad \begin{array}{l}(\mathbf{B})_{ia,jb} = \langle ab|ij\rangle \\ (\mathbf{A})_{ia,jb} = \Delta\epsilon_{ia,jb} + \langle ib|aj\rangle\end{array}$$

- Analytical integration on the **frequency**

density matrix formulation $$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\alpha\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbb{W}_{ee}.\mathbb{P}_{c,\alpha}^{RPA}\right)$$

- **Both** analytical integration

plasmon formulation $$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{RPA} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{TDA}\right)$$

- which is **equivalent** to the

rCCD formulation $$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{B}.\mathbf{T}\right)$$

where $\mathbf{T}$ are solutions of the **Riccati** equations

$$\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{AT} + \mathbf{TA} + \mathbf{TBT} = \mathbf{0}$$

[Scuseria,Henderson,Sorensen JCP **129** 231101 (2008)]

- Analytical integration on the **coupling constant**

dielectric matrix formulation $$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\log\left[\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{\Pi}_0\mathbf{B}\right] + \mathbf{\Pi}_0\mathbf{B}\right)$$

[Jansen,BM,Rocca,Ángyán (prep.)]

RPA : different flavors

$E_c = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B}.\mathbf{T})$ where $\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{AT} + \mathbf{TA} + \mathbf{TBT} = \mathbf{0}$

$(\mathbf{B})_{ia,jb} = \langle ab|ij\rangle$
$(\mathbf{A})_{ia,jb} = \Delta\epsilon_{ia,jb} + \langle ib|aj\rangle$

The Riccati equations, in this context, are derived from $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B} & -\mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix}$ which depend on a kernel $\mathbb{f}$ that **does not or does include exchange**

- **drCCD** variant $\longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{f}_{xc} = 0$ $\longrightarrow$

$(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}})_{ia,jb} = \langle ab|ij\rangle$
$(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{I}})_{ia,jb} = \Delta\epsilon_{ia,jb} + \langle ib|aj\rangle$

$E_c^{\text{dRPA-I}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}}.\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{dRPA}})$ where $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{I}} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$

- **rCCD** variant $\longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{f}_c = 0$ $\longrightarrow$

$(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{II}})_{ia,jb} = \langle ab||ij\rangle$
$(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{II}})_{ia,jb} = \Delta\epsilon_{ia,jb} + \langle ib||aj\rangle$

$E_c^{\text{RPAx-II}} = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{II}}.\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{RPAx}})$ where $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{II}} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{II}}\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{II}} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{II}}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$

- **Additionnal flavors**

$E_c^{\text{SOSEX}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{II}}.\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{dRPA}})$

$E_c^{\text{SO2}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}}.\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{RPAx}})$

Note that comparable additional flavors also exist in the **density matrix** formulation (they have been explored in the litterature) and in the **dielectric matrix** formulation.

Full-range DFT + RPA

DFT calculation followed by an **RPA calculation using KS orbitals and energies**

$$E^{\mathrm{DFT}} = \min_{\Phi} \left\{ \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ne}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\mathrm{Hxc}}[n_\Phi] \right\}$$

$$E = \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ne}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\mathrm{Hx}}^{\mathrm{HF}}[\Phi] + E_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{RPA}}$$

- DFT (LDA/GGA/...) do not describe well the **long-range dispersion forces**, while RPA does
- Still problems with : **short-range correlation energies** (far too negative)
  strong dependence on basis size
  simple van der Waals dimers





>PBE, aug-cc-pV6Z

[Toulouse,Zhu,Savin,Jansen,Ángyán **135** 084119 (2011)]

Range-Separated Hybrid + RPA

- The idea : split the e-e interaction into a **short-** and a **long-**range parts

Hybrid **DFT** with exact HF exchange and RPA correlation, both at **long-range** :

$$E = \min_{\Phi} \left\{ \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ne}} + \hat{W}_{\text{ee}}^{\text{lr}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\text{Hxc}}^{\text{sr}}[n_\Phi] \right\} + E_{\text{c}}^{\text{lr,RPA}}$$

[Stoll,Savin DF.meth.phys. 177 (1985)][Savin IJQC **22** 59 (1988)][Savin, Rec.dev. 327 (1996)]

- range separation greatly **improves RPA** (see **srPBE+RPAx-SO2**)
- basis dependence is reduced





>srPBE, aug-cc-pV6Z, $\mu = 0.5$ [Toulouse,Zhu,Savin,Jansen,Ángyán **135** 084119 (2011)]

Range-Separated Hybrid + RPA

- The idea : split the e-e interaction into a **short-** and a **long-**range parts

Hybrid **DFT** with exact HF exchange and RPA correlation, both at **long-range** :

$$E = \min_{\Phi} \left\{ \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ne}} + \hat{W}_{\text{ee}}^{\text{lr}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\text{Hxc}}^{\text{sr}}[n_\Phi] \right\} + E_{\text{c}}^{\text{lr,RPA}}$$

[Stoll,Savin DF.meth.phys. 177 (1985)][Savin IJQC **22** 59 (1988)][Savin, Rec.dev. 327 (1996)]

- range separation greatly **improves RPA** (see **srPBE+RPAx-SO2**)
- basis dependence is reduced
- for ex. : performance on the S22 dataset is very good for **srPBE+RPAx-SO2**



>srPBE, aug-cc-pVDZ, $\mu = 0.5$ [Toulouse,Zhu,Savin,Jansen,Ángyán **135** 084119 (2011)]

Wavefunction basis convergence

[Gori-Giorgi,Savin PRA **73** 032506 (2006)]

both **partial wave** and **principal number** expansions lead to **exponential convergence** of the wavefunction







Extrapolation formula for total energies

We propose a **three-point extrapolation scheme** for total RSH+correlation energies ($E_X = E_\infty + B\exp(-\beta X)$)

$$E_\infty = E_{XYZ} = \frac{E_Y^2 - E_X E_Z}{2E_Y - E_X - E_Z}$$

>errors (mHartree) wrt. cc-pV6Z

	He	Ne	N_2	H_2O
ΔE_D	8.488	74.523	51.581	55.850
ΔE_T	0.781	20.337	13.406	14.736
ΔE_Q	0.245	5.763	4.090	4.499
ΔE_5	0.078	0.751	0.810	0.726
ΔE_{DTQ}	0.205	0.401	1.083	1.105
ΔE_{TQ5}	0.002	-1.876	-0.972	-1.475

Spin-Unrestricted RPA

[BM,Reinhardt,Ángyán,Toulouse JCP (2015)]

Implemented most of the variants with a nospinflip/spinflip block structure.

Normal distributions of errors (kcal/mol) of calculations on **AE49**

- mean errors of post-RSH calculation are better
- post-RSH calculations dist. of errors have sharper distributions

Similar results were obtained on the **DBH24/08** dataset

We argue that **RPAx-SO2** is a good method for a wide range of applications



>cc-pVQZ (post-RSH : srPBE, $\mu = 0.5$)

Fractional Occupation Numbers calculations

- Study of systems with a **non-integer charge**



- $E^{N+\delta} = \min_{\hat{\Gamma}\to N+\delta} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{\Gamma}\left(\hat{T}+\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}+\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}\right)\right]$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{N+\delta} = (1-\delta)\hat{\Gamma}^{N} + (\delta)\hat{\Gamma}^{N+1}$$
$$E^{N+\delta} = (1-\delta)E^{N} + (\delta)E^{N+1}$$
$$n^{N+\delta} = (1-\delta)n^{N} + (\delta)n^{N+1}$$



E is **piecewise linear** wrt N and
has a **derivative discontinuity** at integer N

- $E^{N+\delta} = \min_{\hat{\Gamma}\to N+\delta} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{\Gamma}\left(\hat{T}+\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)+E_{Hxc}[n_{\hat{\Gamma}}]\right]$

$$\hat{\Gamma}_s^{N+\delta} = (1-\delta)\hat{\Gamma}_s^{N,\delta} + (\delta)\hat{\Gamma}_n^{N+1,\delta}$$
$$n^{N+\delta}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum f_i \, |\phi_i^{N+\delta}(\mathbf{r})|^2$$



[Mori-Sánchez,Cohen,Yang JCP **125** 2006]

Fractional Occupation Numbers calculations

- Study of systems with a **non-integer spin**



- Systems with fractional occupation of degenerate spin states should have the same energy as the integer-spin states



- **Constancy condition**

$$E\left[\sum c_i n_i\right] = E[n] = E(N)$$



[Cohen,Mori-Sánchez,Yang JCP **129** 2008]

Fractional Occupation Numbers calculations [early results]



PBE+RPA
srPBE+lrRPA
PBE+SO2
srPBE+lrSO2
PBE+SOSEX
srPBE+lrSOSEX



>deviation from linear extrapolation for H with δ electron, cc-pVDZ

Fractional Occupation Numbers calculations [early results]

(same thing for He)



PBE+RPA
srPBE+lrRPA
PBE+SO2
srPBE+lrSO2
PBE+SOSEX
srPBE+lrSOSEX

$>$deviation from linear extrapolation for He with $1+\delta$ electrons, cc-pVDZ



Fractional Occupation Numbers calculations [early results]



PBE+RPA
srPBE+lrRPA
PBE+SO2
srPBE+lrSO2
PBE+SOSEX
srPBE+lrSOSEX

>energy for H using cc-pVDZ
with $(0.5+\delta)$ α-electron and $(0.5-\delta)$ β-electron



Conclusions (and future work)

- All (and more) is implemented in the **Quantum Chemistry Package** `MOLPRO`
  Forces for (RSH+)RPA energies are available
  and so are **geometry optimizations** at the (RSH+)RPA levels
  [BM,Szalay,Ángyán JCTC **10** 1968 (2014)]

- Using the fractional occupations implementations
  to get IP and AE with double-hybrids

- Instabilities in the RPA problem

- at the Université Pierre et Marie Curie
  Odile Franck
  Eleanora Luppi
  Peter Reinhardt
  Julien Toulouse
  and, at the Université de Lorraine
  János Ángyán
  Dario Rocca