The relation between the RPA, the GW selfenergy and analytic first derivatives

# Georg Kresse,

P. Liu, E. Maggio, B. Ramberger, T. Schäfer M. Bokdam, J. Lahnsteiner

> Faculty of Physics Universität Wien

Funded by the Austrian FWF











#### RPA and GW a first look

- Diagrammatic representation of both theories
- Achieving good scaling with system size

Relation between RPA and GW / forces in the RPA

#### • Few (very few) examples



 $RPA \rightarrow screened exchange$ 

## Outline of typical computational procedures

RPA is a perturbation theory usually performed on top of density functional theory (and that is the only way it should be done)

- Calculate the DFT ground-state
- Calculate all orbitals including unoccupied orbitals
- Calculate RPA total energy and/or GW QP-energies
- In the latest version of vasp (vasp.6) this can be comfortably done in a single steps
  - ALGO = GWr or
  - ALGO = ACFDTr ; LRPAFORCES = .TRUE.

# **RPA** Derivation



- Most elegant derivation using 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization
  - Gell-Mann Low theorem: adiabatic switching  $\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H}$
  - Time-order to normal-order using Wick's theorem
  - Linked cluster theorem
  - Graphical representation: Goldstone diagrams
- Møller–Plesset PT is a special case starting from HF
- Adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem and Görling-Levy perturbation theory
  - More involved algebra, different pathway
- Coupled cluster theory using an exponential ansatz for the wavefunction



#### Diagrams and single particle Green's function

- Single particle Green's function:  $G(\omega) = (\omega T V^{H} V^{xc})^{-1}$
- Straight line = Green's function describing the propagation of an electron or hole from position and time  $(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1)$  to  $(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2)$

• Particle propagator  $G(1,2) = G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t_2 - t_1)$   $t_2 > t_1$ time

 $G_0(1,2) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \text{virt}} \phi_a^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_a(\mathbf{r}_2) e^{-i(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_F)(t_2 - t_1)}$ 

propagation by unperturbed H

$$G_0(1,2) = -\sum_{i \in \text{occ.}} \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_2) e^{-i(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_F)(t_1 - t_2)}$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ e^{-i(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_F)(t_2 - t_1)} \\ t_2 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $|i\rangle t_{2}$   $e^{-i(\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{F})(t_{2}-t_{1})}$   $t_{1} \langle i|$ 

Hole propagator

GW and RPA for large systems

G(1,2)  $t_2 < t_1$ 

#### There are two limits to consider

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

Large gap systems: exchange is hardly screened

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

 Small gap: exchange tiny, and bubbles need to be summed to infinite order

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Perturbation theory: $\Sigma$ is a "function" of G

- All "Feynman" diagrams with one in-going and one out-going line yield the self-energy (properly amputed)
- $G(1,2) = \langle \Psi_0 | T \psi(1) \psi^+(2) | \Psi_0 \rangle$  and apply Wick theorem

 $\psi^+(2)$  creates particle at  $\mathbf{r}_2, t_2$ ;  $\psi(1)$  annihilate particle at  $\mathbf{r}_1, t_1$ 

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

Low scaling dRPA and GW > RPA

256 Si atoms: 50 million particle-hole channels (scaling N<sup>5</sup>-N<sup>6</sup>)

Low complexity RPA & GW code using plane waves

Desired: Cubic system size scaling N<sup>3</sup> (as DFT)

How do we achieve this:

$$\chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau) = -G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau)G(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r},-i\tau)$$
  
$$\Sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau) = G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau)W(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r},\pm i\tau)$$

Point wise multiplications in real space scales only quadratic with # of grid points

Hedin L. (1965), Phys. Rev. 139, A796. Rojas, Godby, and Needs (1995), PRL 74, 1827. Kaltak, Klimeš, Kresse (2014), PRB 90, 054115.

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

Force

Exampl.

RPA Force Exampl.

However, many calculation steps are more conveniently done in frequency and reciprocal space:

$$W(i\omega) = v + v \qquad \chi(i\omega)W(i\omega)$$
$$G(i\omega) = G_0 + G_0(i\omega)\Sigma(i\omega)G(i\omega)$$
$$E^{\mathsf{RPA}} = \ln(1 - v\chi(i\omega)) + v\chi(i\omega)$$

We need an

- Optimal time grid and optimal frequency grid
- Fourier transformations to go forth and back time ↔ frequency

Kaltak M., Klimeš J., Kresse G., JCTC 10, 2498 (2014)

5/16/2017

# Optimum time grid from second order MP2

J. Almlöf (1991), Chem. Phys. Lett. 181, 319-320 and many more

 Optimal time grids are known from Laplace transformed MP2

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$\hat{\eta}(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\omega}} w_k \mathrm{e}^{-x\tau_k}$$

## **Optimal frequency grid**

Kaltak M., Klimeš J., Kresse G., JCTC 10, 2498 (2014)

Optimal frequency grids determined in analogous manner

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Minimize error function ( $L_2$  norm, or maximum norm)

$$\eta(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\omega}} \gamma_k \frac{4x^2}{(x^2 + \omega_k^2)^2} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{-0.0005} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{4 - 6} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{8 - 10} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{8 - 10} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{1 - 0.0005} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{8 - 10} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{1 - 0.0005} \xrightarrow{0.0005}_{2 - 4} \xrightarrow{0.0$$

## How good are the grids: solid ZnO and Si

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- N<sup>4</sup> RPA calculations entirely in frequency
- N<sup>2</sup> calculation of polarizability in time, then transformation to frequency 200 atoms on 200 cores in about 1 hours

Kaltak, Klimeš, Kresse, JCTC 10, 2498 (2014), Kaltak, Klimeš, Kresse, PRB 90, 054115 (2014). 5/16/2017 GW and RPA for large systems 15

## GW QP energies

Code works in imaginary time and frequency

 $\Sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau) = G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau)W(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',i\tau) \rightarrow \text{FFT} \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ 

Requires analytic continuation to real frequencies
 P. Liu, J. Klimes, M. Kaltak, Kresse, PRB 94, 165109 (2016).

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### RPA and GW a first look

- Diagrammatic representation of both theories
- Achieving good scaling with system size

#### Relation between RPA and GW / forces in the RPA

#### • Few (very few) examples

#### Derivative of Hartree-Fock energy

Hartree and exact exchange energy

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hartree

exchange

Text book knowledge

5/16/2017

# RPA and GW: $E^{RPA} = \ln(1 - v\chi_0) + v\chi_0$

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\mathrm{i} au)$ 

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

Random Phase Approximation and the GW method

. . . . .

# RPA and GW: $E^{RPA} = \ln(1 - v\chi_0) + v\chi_0$

Dahlen, Leeuwen, & von Barth, Phys. Rev. A 73, 012511 (2006).

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Relation between RPA and GW

GW is the derivative of the RPA with respect to G

- Polarizability  $\chi(\tau) = -G(\tau)G(-\tau)$
- Derivative

$$\frac{d\chi(\tau)}{dG(-\tau)} = -G(\tau)$$

Exchange and correlation energy:

$$E^{\text{RPA}} = -Tr[v\chi(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(v\chi(\omega))^2 + \frac{1}{3}(v\chi(\omega))^3 + \cdots]$$

• Derivative 
$$\frac{de^{\text{RPA}}}{d\chi(\omega)} = -v - v\chi(\omega)v - v\chi(\omega)v\chi(\omega)v \dots = -W(\omega)$$
  
 $\approx W^{W} = W^{W} + W^{W}$ 

Putting pieces together

$$\frac{dE^{\text{RPA}}}{dG(-\tau)} = W(\tau)G(\tau) = \Sigma^{GW}(\tau)$$

 $G(\tau)$ 

 $G(-\tau$ 

### Relation between RPA and GW

Comparison DFT and many-body perturbation theory

$$\frac{dE^{\rm DFA-xc}}{dG(0^{-})[=\gamma]} = V^{\rm xc}$$

$$\frac{dE^{\rm RPA}}{dG(-\tau)} = \Sigma^{GW}(\tau)$$

- Relations are "similar"
- Self-consistency using Σ<sup>GW</sup> as a generalized KS-potential is a "possible" prescription

$$G(\omega) = (\omega - T - V^{\mathrm{H}} - \Sigma^{GW}(\omega))^{-1}$$

- Just be aware that  $\chi(\tau) = -G(\tau)G(-\tau)$  is not the proper irreducible polarizability (violates sum rules, not conserving)
- The calculated fluctuation contributions are in-accurate

# **RPA-forces from RPA density matrix**

Ramberger, Schäfer, & Kresse (2017). PRL, 118, 106403.

Forces can generally be written as

 $dE = \langle \Psi | d\mathbf{H} | \Psi \rangle + \langle d\Psi | \mathbf{H} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathbf{H} | d\Psi \rangle$ 

- Hellman-Feynman "theorem": if Ψ is an eigenstate of H, the second and third term are zero
- In Green's function theory the equation above becomes

 $\langle d\Psi | \mathbf{H} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathbf{H} | d\Psi \rangle \rightarrow \text{Tr} \left[ dG^{\text{KS}} (T + V + \Sigma(i\omega)) \right]$  $dG^{\text{KS}}(i\omega) = G^{\text{KS}}(i\omega)(dV^{\text{KS}} + i\omega dS)G^{\text{KS}}(i\omega) \quad (\text{Dyson-equation})$ 

$$\int d\omega \operatorname{Tr}[(T + V + \Sigma(i\omega)) \quad G^{\mathrm{KS}}(i\omega)dV^{\mathrm{KS}}G^{\mathrm{KS}}(i\omega)] =$$
$$\operatorname{Tr}[G^{\mathrm{KS}}(i\omega)(T + V + \Sigma(i\omega))G^{\mathrm{KS}}(i\omega) \quad dV^{\mathrm{KS}}]$$

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### RPA and GW a first look

- Diagrammatic representation of both theories
- Achieving good scaling with system size

Relation between RPA and GW / forces in the RPA

#### • Few (very few) examples

# Forces: phonons in graphite and diamond

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Forces: phonons in graphite and diamond

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

5/16/2017

Random Phase Approximation and the GW method

## Molecular Dynamics: diamond

Ramberger, Schäfer, & Kresse (2017). PRL, 118, 106403.

- Fully integrated in VASP
- Energy stability as good as for DFT (almost <sup>(C)</sup>)
- Of course much, much slower than DFT
  - Three orders of magnitude, since forces are about a factor 10 slower than RPA energies
  - For forces factor 10 slower than HSE
  - Self-energy more involved than RPA correlation energy

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Relation between RPA and GW: Forces in the RPA
- Currently the RPA is the only (tracktable) alternative to DFT
- Few things to keep in mind:
  - Functional derivative of RPA energy is the GW self-energy
  - This allows to calculate forces straightforwardly
  - Self-consistent GW uses a non-physical polarizability (which is not the functional derivative of the RPA)

Underestimates fluctuation contributions severely which is sometimes hidden by the neglect of exchange diagrams

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Acknowledgement

FWF for financial support SFB

# The group for their great work

You for listening

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)