

VB/MM Insights into Enzyme catalysis



Avital Shurki

Institute for Drug Research,

The Lise-Meitner Minerva Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Acknowledgement

Hadar Crown Avital Sharir-Ivry Dr. Tamar Shnerb Dr. Rajapandian Varatharaj Moshe Amitay Tamar Ansbacher Dr. Hemant Kumar Srivastava

Collaborations: Prof. Wei Wu



Interested post-docs are most welcome to the group to continue with this and other interesting projects

\$\$\$ Israel Science Foundation (ISF) Human Frontiers of Science Program (HFSP) Alex Grass Center for Drug Design and Synthesis of Novel Therapeutics

VB - Reactivity Tool



Reaction Path

Empirical VB (EVB)

Advantages

- Simple picture of reactivity
- Relatively fast
- Comparative reduces mistakes
- Calibration reliable results
- Good & easy description of Reaction Coordinate

Disadvantages / Problems

- QM region parameterization
- Difficult to handle more than two states
- Strong assumptions



Ab-initio VB/MM

 $H_{VB/MM} = H(VB) + H(MM) + H(VB/MM)$



VdW Bonding Electrostatic

HOW?

- **4 VdW** classically
- 4 Electrostatic:
 - Mechanical embedding each VB structure
 - Wavefunction polarization (electrostatic embedding)

VB structures - Electron localization



$$\Psi_{\text{Total}} = c_R \Psi_R + c_P \Psi_P$$

Mechanical Embedding with each VB structure separately should include most of the wavefunction polarization.



Protein



 $H_{VB/MM} = H(VB) + H(MM) + H(VB/MM)$

Hii is the diabatic state energy: $H_{ii} = H_{ii}(VB) + H_{ii}^{int} + H(MM)$ H_{ii}^{int} is calculated classically

How to calculate Hij?

Assumption: Both overlap S_{ij} and reduced resonance integral, β_{ij} , are invariant to the environment.

$$\beta_{ij}^{gas} = \beta_{ij}^{env} \qquad S_{ij}^{gas} = S_{ij}^{env}$$

 $\beta_{ij} = H_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (H_{ii} + H_{jj}) S_{ij}$ $H_{ij} = H_{ij}^{0} (VB) + \frac{1}{2} (H_{ii}^{int} + H_{jj}^{int}) S_{ij}$

Solve new matrix, Get new wavefuncetion

This formula will serve also in the general case

Shurki & Crown JPC B, 2005 109, 23638

ab initio VB/MM

New matrix is solved:

$$H_{11}^{0} + H_{11}^{\text{int}} \qquad H_{12}^{0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(H_{11}^{\text{int}} + H_{22}^{\text{int}} \right) S_{12}$$
$$H_{21}^{0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(H_{11}^{\text{int}} + H_{22}^{\text{int}} \right) S_{21} \qquad H_{22}^{0} + H_{22}^{\text{int}}$$

New wavefunction, and energy are obtained:

 $E_{total} = E + H^{O}(MM)$

Relax the environment accordingly and repeat

Finally, for the reaction profile: use potential of mean force (PMF) combined with FEP/US

Shurki & Crown JPC B, 2005 109, 23638

Finally ...

The ab -initio VB calculations of the reactive fragments utilized the program **XMVB**:

Lingchun Song, Wei Wu, Yirong Mo, Qianer Zhang, **XMVB** – an ab initio Non-orthogonal Valence Bond Program, Center of Theoretical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, and State Key Laboratory for Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces, Xiamen University, Xiamen Fujain 36005, China.

The MM calculations as well as dynamics utilized the MOLARIS program with the ENZYMIX force-field:

Microscopic and Semimicroscopic Calculations of Electrostatic Energies in Proteins by the POLARIS and ENZYMIX Programs, F. S. Lee, Z. T. Chu, and A. Warshel, J. Comp. Chem. 14, 161 (1993).

Results: Li-F

VB Structures: $Li \rightarrow F$; Φ_{ION} Li^+ ; F^- ; Φ_{ION} Φ_{COV} Energy Curves Gas Phase Solution (kcal/mol) 150 100 (kcal/mol) 300 250 Φ_{COV} Φ_{ION} 200 150 ₽ ДΕ 50 100 Φ_{cov} 0 50 $\Phi_{\mathsf{IO'}}$ 6-31G* basis set -50 0 15 electrons frozen -100 -50 2 10 0 6 8 2 6 8 10 4 0 4

r_{Li-F} (Å)

correct description of dissociation into ions in solution

Predicted dissociation of barrier ~26 kcal/mol (exp. ~5 kcal/mol)

Shurki & Crown JPC B, 2005 109, 23638

r_{Li-F} (Å)



Dissociation into: radicals - vacuum vs ions - solution

Predicted dissociation barrier of ~14.5 kcal/mol (Exp. ~19.5 kcal/mol)



Homolytic dissociation in both vacuum and solution
Most of the bond energy comes from resonance

6-31G* basis set Core electrons frozen



Sharir-Ivry & Shurki JPC A, 2008, 112, 2489



 H_{ii}^{int} is calculated classically

ab initio VB/MM

$$H_{11}^{0} + H_{11}^{\text{int}} \qquad H_{12}^{0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(H_{11}^{\text{int}} + H_{22}^{\text{int}} \right) S_{12}$$
$$H_{21}^{0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(H_{11}^{\text{int}} + H_{22}^{\text{int}} \right) S_{21} \qquad H_{22}^{0} + H_{22}^{\text{int}}$$

Requires the approximations: $\beta_{ij}^{gas} = \beta_{ij}^{env}$ $S_{ij}^{gas} = S_{ij}^{env}$

ab initio DE-VB/MM

Wavefunction Polarization

Environment partial charges are included in the quantum Hamiltonian: H_{ii}^{int} is included in the quantum Hamiltonian

$$\begin{pmatrix} H \ {}^{0}_{11} \end{pmatrix}' \qquad \begin{pmatrix} H \ {}^{0}_{12} \end{pmatrix}'$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} H \ {}^{0}_{21} \end{pmatrix}' \qquad \begin{pmatrix} H \ {}^{0}_{22} \end{pmatrix}'$$



Sharir-Ivry, Shurki, JPC B 2008 112, 12491

Examination of approximations regarding S_{ij} and β_{ij}

TS geometry (2.38Å)



- Changes in overlap and reduced resonance are negligible

- The trends in the weights are kept ,VB/MM sufficient for wavefunction polarization

The approximations in VB/MM seem reasonable

 $H_{VB/MM} = H(VB) + H(MM) + H(VB/MM)$



Bonding Velectrostatic

HOW?

- **4 VdW** classically
- 4 Electrostatic:
 - Mechanical embedding each VB structure
 - Wavefunction polarization (electrostatic embedding)
- **Bonding** link atom scheme





Link atom treatment $CH_3CH_2 - COO^- + CH_3CI \rightarrow CH_3CH_2 - COOCH_3 + CI^-$ MM QM

Reaction barriers (kcal/mol)					
	ΔG_{g}^{\dagger}	ΔG_w^{\dagger}			
Full treatment	11.9	18.2			
Link atom treatment	11.5	18.7			

Barriers are similar for the two treatments Link atom treatment is suitable

Sharir-Ivry, et al. JPC B 2010, 114, 2212



Low barriers (different experimental system and insufficient description of gas phase), yet the overall trend is correct

			_		
		Reactants	TS	$\Delta_{(TS-R)}$	$\Delta\Delta_{(P-W)}$
water	CovR	-54	-17	37	
	Ion	-26	-49	-23	
protein	CovR	-54	-23	31	-6
	Ion	-37	-61	-24	-1
	Tot				-8

Solvation Energies (weighted)

* Results based on one run and include VdW and intra electrostatic interaction.

> The stabilization in the protein is consistently larger than in water

Water stabilize CovR in the reactants geometry much more than in the TS - leading to the increased barrier

> This differential stabilization considerably decreases in the protein

Sharir-lvry, et al. JPC B 2010, 114, 2212

Conclusions

Two methods were presented: VB/MM and DE-VB/MM

- The approximations made for VB/MM were shown to be reasonable
- Mechanical embedding of each VB structure separately captures most of the environmental effect of wavefunction polarization
- The method allows studies of reactions in solvents as well as enzymes and enables understanding of the effect of mutations on the reaction.